2015 ANNUAL REPORT

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF AMERICAN FORK CITY, UT

IN COMPLIANCE WITH UTAH CODE SECTION 17C-1-603 AND 17C-1-402(9)(b)





GATEWAY PLAZA BUILDING - 41 N. RIO GRANDE, STE 101 - SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101 (P) 801-596-0700 - (TF) 800-581-1100 - (F) 801-596-2800 - WWW.LEWISYOUNG.COM



Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4	
OVERVIEW OF THE REDEVELOPMENT	AGENCY	
SUMMARY OF REQUESTED FUNDS		
GENERAL OVERVIEW OF ALL PROJECT	AREAS	

9
9
0
I
2
3
3
3
7

SECTION 2: OVERVIEW OF THE EAST MAIN RDA PROJECT AREA	18
SOURCES OF FUNDS	
USES OF FUNDS	
DEBT SERVICE OBLIGATIONS	20
PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY	20
NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS	21
FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE	22
OTHER ISSUES	22
PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS	22
EXHIBIT B: MAP OF THE EAST MAIN RDA PROJECT AREA	27

SECTION 3: OVERVIEW OF THE NORTH VALLEY RDA PROJECT /	AREA28
SOURCES OF FUNDS	
USES OF FUNDS	
DEBT SERVICE OBLIGATIONS	
PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY	
NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS	
FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE	
OTHER ISSUES	
PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS	
EXHIBIT C: MAP OF THE NORTH VALLEY RDA PROJECT AREA	



SECTION 4: OVERVIEW OF THE EGG FARM EDA PROJECT AREA	40
SOURCES OF FUNDS	41
USES OF FUNDS	42
DEVELOPMENT OBLIGATIONS AND INCENTIVES	42
PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY	44
NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS	46
FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE	47
OTHER ISSUES	47
PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS	47
EXHIBIT D: MAP OF THE EGG FARM EDA PROJECT AREA	52



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

Lewis Young Robertson & Burningham, Inc ("LYRB") has been retained by the Redevelopment Agency of American Fork City (the "Agency") to assist with the management of the Agency's four project areas (West Side RDA, East Main RDA, North Valley RDA, and Egg Farm EDA). LYRB has compiled various creation and related documents associated with the project areas, created annual and multi-year budgets, and created a proprietary Excel-based software package to manage the Agency's Project Areas in the future.

The purpose of this report, in part, is to fulfill the requirements of Utah Code section 17C-1-402(9)(b) and section 17C-1-603 – Agency Report. As new reporting requirements were adopted in legislation and became effective in 2011, this report facilitates the RDA's compliance with the new code, providing the data necessary to fulfill these new reporting requirements. This section of Utah Code mandates that the Agency provide an annual report to the County Auditor, the State Tax Commission, the State Board of Education, as well as each of the taxing entities that levy a tax on property from which the Agency collects tax increment. The taxing entities involved in the various project areas of the American Fork Redevelopment Agency, to which this report is being provided, are summarized in the table below.

RDA TAXING ENTITIES			
Audra Sorensen	American Fork City		
Cathy Jensen	American Fork City		
Adam Olsen	American Fork City		
Andy Spencer	American Fork City		
Burt Harvey	Utah County		
Rob Smith	Alpine School District		
John Jacobs	North Utah County Water Conservancy District		
Gene Shawcroft	Central Utah Water Conservancy District		
JoAnne Dubois	Central Utah Water Conservancy District		
Natalie Grange	Utah State Board of Education		
Lorraine Austin	Utah State Board of Education		
Barry Conover	Utah State Tax Commission		

Table 1.1: RDA Taxing Entities

This report also fulfills the reporting requirements described in UC 17C-1-402(9)(b), allowing the report to be used in place of an annual taxing entity committee meeting. This annual report is for informational purposes and is intended to provide an overview of each Project Area that lies within the boundaries of the American Fork Redevelopment Agency, including descriptions of each Project Area, significant activities, project timelines, actual and estimated tax increment collections, and any other information pertinent to the taxing entities.

Provided in this report is an overview of the West Side RDA Project Area, the East Main RDA Project Area, the North Valley RDA Project Area, and the Egg Farm EDA Project Area, including summaries of the current and projected budgets, sources and uses of tax increment funds, Project Area growth statistics, and identification of certain concerns/needs.



OVERVIEW OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

The Redevelopment Agency of American Fork City was created by the American Fork City Council in June 1983 in accordance with the provision of the Utah Neighborhood Development Act, UCA 17A-2-1201, 17A-2-1202, and 17A-2-1203 and continues to operate under Title 17C of Utah Code (UCA 17C). The purpose of the Agency is to encourage the revitalization of certain areas of the City with quality developments that are conducive to meeting the long range goals of the City.

UCA 17C has expanded the ability of the Redevelopment Agencies, allowing the creation of various types of project areas, including Community Development Area (CDA), Urban Renewal Area (URA), and Economic Development Area (EDA). A CDA differs from a URA and an EDA in that it is meant to encourage, promote, or provide for development, but does not require the vote, approval, or governance of a taxing entity committee. Various taxing entities can elect to participate on an individual basis through interlocal agreements. In comparison, an EDA is intended to create jobs or economic opportunity and requires a taxing entity committee. A URA is meant to initiate or intensify development of a blighted or under-used area. In the case of a URA, blight finding is required, limited use of eminent domain is allowed, and a taxing entity committee is required.

As three of the four active project areas in the American Fork City Redevelopment Agency (West Side, East Main, North Valley) were created prior to this expansion of UCA 17C, each of these three Project Areas has been classified simply as a Redevelopment Area, or RDA. The fourth Project Area, Egg Farm, has been classified as an EDA.

AUTHORITIES AND POWERS OF THE AGENCY

The authority of the Agency is directed by UCA Title 17C. 17C-1-202

- I. A community development and renewal agency may:
 - Sue and be sued;
 - Enter into contracts generally;
 - Buy, obtain an option upon, or otherwise acquire an interest in real or personal property;
 - Sell, convey, grant, dispose of by gift, or otherwise dispose of any interest in real or personal property;
 - Finter into a lease agreement on real or personal property, either as lessee or lessor;
 - Frovide for urban renewal, economic development, and community development as provided in this title;
 - Figure Receive tax increment as provided in this title;
 - If disposing of or leasing land, retain controls or establish restrictions and covenants running with the land consistent with the project area plan;
 - Accept financial or other assistance from any public or private source for the agency's activities, powers, and duties, and expend any funds so received for any of the purposes of this title;
 - Borrow money or accept financial or other assistance from the federal government, a public entity, or any other source for any of the purposes of this title and comply with any conditions of the loan or assistance;
 - Issue bonds to finance the undertaking of any urban renewal, economic development, or community development or for any of the agency's other purposes, including;
 - Reimbursing an advance made by the agency or by a public entity or the federal government to the agency;
 - Refunding bonds to pay or retire bonds previously issued by the agency; and



- Refunding bonds to pay or retire bonds previously issued by the community that created the agency for expenses associated with an urban renewal, economic development, or community development project; and
- Transact other business and exercise all other powers provided for in this title.

GOVERNING BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND STAFF MEMBERS

Table 1.2: Board of Trustees

GOVERNING BOARD OF TRUSTEES			
James Hadfield	Chairman	American Fork City Mayor	
Carlton Bowen	Board Member	American Fork City Council Member	
Brad Frost	Board Member	American Fork City Council Member	
Robert Shelton	Board Member	American Fork City Council Member	
Jeffrey Shorter	Board Member	American Fork City Council Member	
Clark Taylor	Board Member	American Fork City Council Member	

Table 1.3: Staff Members

STAFF MEMBERS			
Craig Whitehead	City Administrator		
Audra Sorensen	Economic Development Director		
Cathy Jensen	Finance Director		

SUMMARY OF REQUESTED FUNDS

The Agency **requests all funds it is legally entitled to receive**, and estimates those funds according to the chart below. Per UC 17C-1-603(3), these projected figures are provided for informational purposes only, and do not alter the amount of tax increment that this Agency is entitled to collect. The Agency requests all tax increment legally available from each of the Agency's project areas described below; however these estimates should in no way be interpreted or applied as a limitation upon the amount the Agency is entitled to receive under applicable statute(s), project area budget(s), and/or interlocal cooperation agreements.

Table 1.4: Estimate of Tax Increment

ESTIMATE OF TAX INCREMENT TO BE PAID TO THE AGENCY			
	Tax Year 2015	Tax Year 2016	
	(Ending Dec. 31, 2015)	(Beginning Jan. 1, 2016)	
Property Tax Increment			
West Side RDA	\$108,133	\$0	
East Main RDA	\$79,441	\$79,441	
North Valley RDA	\$586,698	\$586,698	
Egg Farm EDA	\$744,234	\$766,752	
Total Revenue	\$1,518,506	\$1,432,891	



GENERAL OVERVIEW OF ALL PROJECT AREAS

Table 1.5: Combined Budget

COMBINED BUDGET – ALL PROJECT AREAS			
		REMAINING LIFE	
REVENUES	FY 2015 TOTALS	(INCLUDES 2015 TOTALS)	
Property Tax Increment			
West Side RDA	\$31,425	\$139,558	
East Main RDA	66,163	304,485	
North Valley RDA	576,924	2,923,716	
Egg Farm EDA	622,437	8,864,145	
Total Revenue	\$1,296,949	\$12,231,904	
		REMAINING LIFE	
EXPENDITURES	FY 2015 TOTALS	(INCLUDES 2015 TOTALS)	
RDA Administration		,	
West Side RDA	\$1,571	\$6,978	
East Main RDA	3,308	15,224	
North Valley RDA	28,846	46, 86	
Egg Farm EDA	31,122	598,415	
Developer Incentive Payments			
North Valley RDA	-	125,000	
Egg Farm EDA	311,218	2,992,073	
Debt Service Payments			
West Side RDA	38,478	73,127	
East Main RDA	48,098	181,546	
North Valley RDA	394,400	I,478,843	
Public Infrastructure/Other Development Activities			
West Side RDA	(8,624)	59,454	
East Main RDA	14,757	107,715	
North Valley RDA	153,678	١,173,687	
Egg Farm EDA	155,609	2,880,000	
Affordable Housing Fund			
Egg Farm EDA	124,487	2,393,658	
Total Expenditures	\$1,296,949	\$12,231,904	



SECTION 1: OVERVIEW OF THE WEST SIDE RDA PROJECT AREA

OVERVIEW				
<u>Type</u>	Acreage	Purpose	Taxing District	Tax Rate
RDA	17	Commercial and Industrial Development	60	0.011999
Creation Year FY 1990	Base Year FY 1990	<u>Term</u> 15 Years	Trigger Year FY 1992	Expiration Year FY 2016
<u>Base Value</u> \$469,256	TY 2014 Value \$13,692,612	<u>Increase</u> 2,818%	FY 2015 Increment \$31,425	<u>Remaining Life</u> Year
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\$13,072,012	2,010/0	43 1,123	i real



The West Side RDA Project Area was created in December 1990 and is governed by the "Redevelopment Plan for the West Side Project Area" dated December 11, 1990. This document defines the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating tax entity. As the West Side RDA Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this Project Area.

The purpose of the West Side RDA Project Area is the incentivizing of commercial and industrial development along the west side of State Street in American Fork. This includes the reduction or elimination of high groundwater and other physical constraints, as well as the construction of public improvements such as streets, utility systems, drainage works, and landscaping. These improvements are intended to be done in such a way as to serve the needs of the future occupants of the Project Area, as well as facilitate the future elimination of such deterrents described above. It is also intended that the creation of the Project Area will eliminate the potential for fragmented development and instead foster the timely and coordinated development of the area.

The Project Area lies entirely within American Fork City and includes approximately 17 acres of property located in the Northeast quadrant of the West American Fork I-15 Interchange. The Project Area includes several parcels located along the west side of State Street from roughly Nicholes Lane to Pacific Drive. A map of the Project Area is included as Exhibit A.





SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 2.2: Sources of Funds

2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS		
2015 Property Tax Increment Collected and Paid to Agency	\$31,425	
Previous Years Tax Increment Paid in 2015	-	
Total Sources of Funds	\$31,425	

The FY 2015 tax increment amount paid to the Agency was uncharacteristically low due to the default of the major property owner, K&G Hayashi Inc., on the 2014 property taxes. The parcel belonging to this owner represents 33% of the assessed value of the Project Area and, thus, contributes significantly to tax increment paid to the Agency each year. The 2014 tax increment from this property will be paid to the Agency when it is collected in the future.

Table 2.3: Tax Increment Levels

TAX INCREMENT LEVELS			
Years	%		
1992-1996	100%		
1997-2001	80%		
2002-2006	75%		
2007-2011	70%		
2012-2016	60%		

USES OF FUNDS

Table 2.4: Uses of Funds

2015 USES OF FUNDS		
RDA Administration	\$1,571	
Other Development Activities	(8,624)	
Debt Service Payments	38,478	
Total Uses of Funds	\$31,425	

Of the total tax increment collected each year, 5% will be allocated to Agency Administration. The remaining balance will be used first to make the annual Debt Service Payments on the associated RDA bonds and any excess will be allocated to Other Development Activities. As discussed above, the default of the major property owner on property taxes in 2014, the 2015 tax increment payment to the Agency was unusually low and insufficient to cover the annual administration fee and debt service

¹ Utah County remits tax increment to the Agency only to the point that it has been collected from property owners. Thus, although the Agency may be entitled to \$95,200 in annual tax increment for FY 2015, the County remits to the Agency only the portion that has been collected, which totals \$31,425. In the same vein, the County also remits any additional tax increment that has been collected from property owners for prior year delinquencies. No delinquent tax increment was collected in FY 2015.



payment, resulting in a shortage of \$8,624. This deficiency will likely be covered by a fund balance from prior years.

When available, the bulk of funds allocated to redevelopment activities will be used for the roadway paving overlay of the portion of 130 North and Pacific that lies within the boundaries of the Project Area. This prospective project is estimated to cost \$150,000 and will be completed only as funding permits, after payment of the debt service on the RDA bonds. Given that the Project Area will draw tax increment for only I more year, and the fact that a signification portion of annual tax increment has been allocated to debt service obligations, sufficient funds may not be available to complete this planned development prior to the expiration of the Project Area in 2016. However, the Agency will continue to collect a portion of tax increment beyond 2016 as delinquent property taxes are remitted to the County. Currently, the Agency is owed \$63,775 in delinquent taxes. These funds, once collected, will be available to be used for this pavement overlay project.

DEBT SERVICE OBLIGATIONS

Bonds were issued in April 2005, and later refunded in January 2015, with the proceeds being utilized to fund the following cultural/recreational improvements within American Fork City:

- Construction of a skate park
- Enlargement of the existing fitness center
- Enhancement of the boat harbor
- Renovation of Community Arts Classroom
- The second secon

The use of tax increment funds from RDA project areas to fund various cultural or recreational facilities such as those described above, whether or not the facility is located within a project area, was made available during a short-lived legislation action leading to UCA 17B-4-1003. This section of Utah Code allowed for the issuance of RDA bonds for the purposes of constructing and improving cultural or recreational facilities and for the utilization of tax increment funds generated in the West Side RDA Project Area, the East Main RDA Project Area, and the North Valley RDA Project Area to service these bonds. It is intended that the debt service payments on the bonds be paid solely with tax increment funds available from these three project areas.

Table 2.5: Percentage of Debt Service Obligation For Each Project Area

PERCENTAGE OF DEBT SERVICE OBLIGATION BY PROJECT AREA		
West Side RDA Project Area	8%	
East Main RDA Project Area	10%	
North Valley RDA Project Area	82%	



PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 2.6: Growth in Assessed Value

GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE	CURRENT YEAR	PRIOR YEAR/ BASE YEAR	GROWTH RATE	AAGR
ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA				
Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 2013)	\$13,692,612	\$12,361,375	10.8%	10.8%
Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 1990)	\$13,692,612	\$469,256	2,818%	15.1%

ASSESSED VALUES IN AMERICAN FORK CITY				
Annual Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 2013)	\$1,563,159,186	\$1,424,178,291	9.8%	9.8%
Lifetime Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 1997) ²	\$1,563,159,186	\$481,013,414	225%	7.2%

BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 2.7: Benefits to Taxing Entities

BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITES
*Increased Property Tax Revenues
*Increased Sales Tax Revenues
*Job creation spurred by commercial and industrial development
*Higher growth in tax base compared to non-incentivized areas
Comment AACD for the Desire t Annu is means then double that for new incometion double

- Current AAGR for the Project Area is more than double that for non-incentivized areas

The taxing entities are currently benefiting from increased assessed values in the Project Area as a portion of tax increment is being returned to the taxing entities. As shown below, the annual tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to taxing entities is 1,127% above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels. This pass through increment will continue to increase as assessed values rise. Since FY 2003, the total tax increment (above the base amount) received by the taxing entities is 720% above what would have been realized based on base year levels.

 $^{^{2}}$ The year 1997 is being used for this comparison because this is the earliest year for which assessed values are available for the City as a whole.



Table 2.8: Growth in Tax Increment

GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT	ORIGINAL BUDGET** REVENUES	ACTUAL REVENUES	BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES	% ABOVE BASE
TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA				
Fiscal Year 2015	N/A	\$158,667	\$5,631	2,818%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2003-2015)*	N/A	\$1,509.396	\$69,644	2,167%

PASS THROUGH INCREMENT (ABOVE BASE)				
Fiscal Year 2015	N/A	\$63,467	\$5,63 I	1,127%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2003-2015)*	N/A	\$501,648	\$69,644	720%

* Lifetime revenues have been calculated using figures from FY 2003 through FY 2015 because tax increment revenue numbers are not available for all years preceding FY 2003.

* * The original budget is not available for this Project Area.

NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

The West Side RDA Project Area was created with the intent of incentivizing commercial and industrial development in American Fork City. Various infrastructure improvements have been completed in the Project Area to date, including extension and improvement of roadways, culinary water supply, sewer system, landscaping, utilities, and facilities for the disposal of excess groundwater.

Development in the Project Area has consisted of the construction of several commercial and industrial establishments, including several fast food restaurants and retail stores. The Project Area recently saw the completion of the construction of a commercial building which houses several new businesses and has contributed to the increase in the assessed value of the Project Area. This building is currently occupied by Fetal Fotos and Vision Works.

Notable businesses housed in the Project Area include:

- Applebees
- America First Credit Union
- Cal Ranch
- 🖷 Chi-Ku Restaurant
- Chik-Fil-A
- 🖉 Costa Vida
- Fetal Fotos

- Five Guys Burgers and Fries
- Sherwin Williams
- Sonic Drive-In
- The Quilted Bear
- U-Swirl Frozen Yogurt
- Vision Works
- Turchers

The project area has also facilitated growth adjacent to its boundaries. Notable growth and development in close proximity to the project area include the Black Bear Diner and residential development on the northwest corner of 700 West and 130 North.

Recent improvements in the Project Area include the construction of curb, gutter, sidewalks, streetscaping, lighting and drainage improvements along West State Street. Planned development consists of the roadway paving overlay of the portion of 130 North and Pacific that lies within the boundaries of the Project Area. As mentioned above, this project will be completed only to the point that funds are available after the payment of the debt service on the RDA bonds.



FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi-year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected.

Table 2.9: Project Area Budget

PROJECT AREA BUDGET	FY 2015-2016	
REVENUES	TOTALS NPV @ 5%	
Annual Property Tax Increment	\$139,558	\$128,009
Previous Years Property Tax Increment	-	-
Total Revenue	\$139,558	\$128,009
EXPENDITURES	TOTALS	NPV @ 5%
RDA Administration	\$6,978	\$6,400
Other Development Activities	59,454	53,535
Debt Service Payments	73,127	68,073
Total Expenditures	\$139,558	\$128,009

OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any major areas of concern with the West Side RDA Project Area and believes that, according to the records reviewed, all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area.

PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, and FY 2015-2016 multi-year budgets.



West Side RDA Project Area

2015 Annual Budget November 1, 2015



	Tax Year	2014
	Payment Year	2015
REVENUE:		
TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Total Building Value	\$	5,190,700
Real Property		13,686,300
Personal Property		-
Centerally Assessed		6,312
Total Assessed Value	\$	13,692,612
Less: Base Year Value		(469,256)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	13,223,356
		,,
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy Distr	ict	0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District	1994	0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	158,667
Total Tax Increment:	\$	158,667
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	95,200
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	31,425
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA	4.	-
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	31,425
EXPENDITURES:		
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
Redevelopment Agency Uses		
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	1,571
Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities		(8,624)
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne	ss	
Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds		
Principal	\$	37,040
Interest		1,438
Total Debt Obligations	\$	38,478
375		
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	31,425

West Side RDA Project Area

2016 Annua	al Budget
November	1,2015



Tax Year		2015
Payment Year	r	2016
REVENUE:		
Total Building Value	s	6,315,700
Real Property	Ŷ	15,482,700
Personal Property		-
Centerally Assessed		6,312
Total Assessed Value	\$	15,489,012
Less: Base Year Value		(469,256)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	15,019,756
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	180,222
Total Tax Increment:	\$	180,222
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	_	
Latin at the second second to the second second	~	100,100
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	108,133
		100.100
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	108,133
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA		-
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	108,133
	_	
EXPENDITURES:		
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
Redevelopment Agency Uses		
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	5,407
	Ŷ	
Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities		68,078
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness		
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness		
Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds	0	00.440
Principal	\$	33,440
Interest	6	1,208
Total Debt Obligations	\$	34,648
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	100 400
I VIAL EAFENDITURES	Ŷ	108,133





West Side RDA Project Area

Ongoing Budget



Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections November 1, 2015

	<	===== HISTORIC	PROJECTED ====>	
Tax Year		2014	2015	TOTALS
Payment Year		2015	2016	
REVENUE:				
TAXABLE VALUATION:				
Total Building Value	\$	5,190,700	\$ 6,315,700	
Total Land Value	\$	8,495,600	\$ 9,167,000	
Real Property	\$	13,686,300	\$ 15,482,700	
Personal Property		-	-	
Centerally Assessed		6,312	6,312	
Total Assessed Value	s	13,692,612	\$ 15,489,012	
Less: Base Year Value	s	(469,256)	\$ (469,256)	
		(,)	,,,	
Incremental Assessed Value	s	13,223,356	\$ 15,019,756	-
	•	10,220,000	• 10,010,100	
Tax Rate:				
REF. V. ROBERT 1 1 From		0.1149%	0.1149%	
Utah County Alaine School District				
Alpine School District		0.8096%	0.8096%	
American Fork City		0.2540%	0.2540%	
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%	0.0025%	
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%	0.0422%	
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%	-0.0220%	
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%	-0.0013%	
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%	0.0000%	
Total Tax Rate:		1.1999%	1.1999%	e)
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES				
Total Tax Increment	\$	158,667	\$ 180,222	\$ 338,889
Total Tax Increment:	\$	158,667	\$ 180,222	\$ 338,889
a				•
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%	60%	
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES				
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	95,200	\$ 108,133	\$ 203,333
				1999 (1997) 1997 - 1997 (1997)
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	31,425	\$ 108,133	\$ 139,558
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA	Ŷ	01,420	¢ 100,100	• 105,000
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	31,425	\$ 108,133	\$ 139,558
Total Tax increment Revenue to RDA:	ð	51,425	a 100,133	a 133,330
EXPENDITURES:				
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds				
B. de al contra de la contra de				
Redevelopment Agency Uses				
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	1,571		\$ 6,978
Other Development Activities		(8,624)	68,078	59,454
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness				
Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds				
Principal		37,040	33,440	70,480
Interest		1,438	1,208	2,647
Total Debt Obligations		38,478	34,648	\$ 73,127
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	31,425	\$ 108,133	\$ 139,558

Note 1: Tax increment actually paid to the Agency is based on actual collections. As the County collects previous years tax increment, it is remitted to the Agency in the current year.





SECTION I: WEST SIDE RDA PROJECT AREA

2015 ANNUAL REPORT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF AMERICAN FORK CITY, UT

Page | 17

WE PROVIDE SOLUTIONS



SECTION 2: OVERVIEW OF THE EAST MAIN RDA PROJECT AREA

		OVERVIEW		
Туре	Acreage	Purpose	Taxing District	Tax Rate
RDA	29.5	Commercial and Industrial	60	0.011999
		Development		
Creation Year	Base Year	<u>Term</u>	Trigger Year	Expiration Year
FY 1992	FY 1992	25 Years	FY 1994	FY 2018
Base Value	TY 2014 Value	Increase	FY 2015 Increment	Remaining Life
\$5,621,532	\$16,236,484	70%	\$66,163	3 Years

Table 3.1: Project Area Overview



The East Main RDA Project Area was created in December 1992 and is governed by the "Redevelopment Plan for the East Main Street Redevelopment Area" dated December 8, 1992. This document defines the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating tax entity. As the East Main RDA Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this Project Area.

The purpose of the East Main RDA Project Area is the incentivizing of commercial and industrial development of several blocks along Main Street in American Fork where conditions of blight were determined to be present. This includes the elimination of flood hazard potential and other physical constraints, as well as other factors that act as a deterrent to proper development. Also intended is the construction of various infrastructure improvements, including streets, utilities, drainage systems, and landscaping. These improvements are intended to be done in such a way as to serve the needs of the future occupants of the Project Area, as well as the general public. It is also intended that the creation of the Project Area will eliminate the potential for fragmented development and instead foster the timely and coordinated efforts for the commercial and industrial development of the area.

The Project Area lies entirely within American Fork City and includes approximately 29.5 acres of property located along Main Street, consisting of portions of blocks 12, 13, 16, and 17 of Plat A of the American Fork City Survey of Blocks and adjacent public streets, which lie next to the traditional central business area of the City. The Project Area contains a mixture of land use types, including industrial, retail service and commercial, and a small area of residential. However, a significant area of the Project Area was vacant at inception. A map of the Project Area is included as Exhibit B.





SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 3.2: Sources of Funds

2015 SOURCES OF FUND	S
2015 Property Tax Increment Collected and Paid to Agency ³	\$50,064
Previous Years Tax Increment Paid in 2015	16,098
Total Sources of Funds	\$66,163

Table 3.3: Tax Increment Levels

TAX INCREME	ENT LEVELS
Years	%
1994-1998	100%
1999-2003	80%
2004-2008	75%
2009-2013	70%
2014-2018	60%

USES OF FUNDS

Table 3.4: Uses of Funds

2015 USES OF FUND	S
RDA Administration	\$3,308
Other Development Activities	14,757
Debt Service Payments	48,098
Total Uses of Funds	\$66,163

It is currently planned that funds available for other development activities will be used to for (1) the relocation of the Main Street/State Street intersection at 200 East and (2) a contribution to UDOT for the construction of a signal light at 200 East Main. The cost of these projects is estimated to be \$147,000. The completion of these prospective projects is subject to available funding after the payment of the debt service on the RDA bonds. Because the Project Area will draw tax increment for only 3 more years, and the fact that a signification portion of annual tax increment is being set aside for debt service obligations, sufficient funds may not be available to bring these planned developments to fruition prior to the expiration of the Project Area in 2018.

³ Utah County remits tax increment to the Agency only to the point that it has been collected from property owners. Thus, although the Agency may be entitled to \$76,421 in annual tax increment for FY 2015, the County remits to the Agency only the portion that has been collected, which totals \$50,064. In the same vein, the County also remits any additional tax increment that has been collected from property owners for prior year delinquencies. For FY 2015, this is represented by the \$16,098 figure outlined above.



DEBT SERVICE OBLIGATIONS

As discussed in the Section I of this report, bonds were issued in April 2005, and later refunded in January 2015, with the proceeds being utilized to fund cultural and recreational improvements in American Fork City. A portion of the annual tax increment from each project area will be used to make the annual debt service payments. The portion of annual debt service payments to be paid from tax increment generated in the East Main RDA Project Area is 10%.

PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 3.5: Growth in Assessed Value

GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE	CURRENT YEAR	PRIOR YEAR/ BASE YEAR	GROWTH RATE	AAGR
ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA				
Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 2013)	\$16,236,484	\$15,243,869	6.5%	6.5%
Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 1992)	\$16,236,484	\$5,621,532	189%	4.9%

ASSESSED VALUES IN AMERICAN FORK CITY				
Annual Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 2013)	\$1,563,159,186	\$1,424,178,291	9.8%	9.8%
Lifetime Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 1997) ⁴	\$1,563,159,186	\$481,013,414	225%	7.2%

BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 3.6: Benefits to Taxing Entities

BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITES

*Increased Property Tax Revenues

*Increased Sales Tax Revenues

*Job creation spurred by commercial and industrial development

The taxing entities are currently benefiting from increased assessed values in the Project Area as a portion of tax increment is being returned to the taxing entities. As shown below, the annual tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to taxing entities is 76% above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels. This pass through increment will continue to increase as assessed values rise. Since FY 2003, the total tax increment (above the base amount) received by the taxing entities is also 50% above what would have been realized based on base year levels.

⁴ The year 1997 is being used for this comparison because this is the earliest year for which assessed values are available for the City as a whole.



Table 3.7: Growth in Tax Increment

GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT	ORIGINAL BUDGET** REVENUES	ACTUAL REVENUES	BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES	% ABOVE BASE
TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA				
Fiscal Year 2015	N/A	\$127,369	\$67,453	189%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2003-2015)*	N/A	\$1,404,711	\$834,314	168%

PASS THROUGH INCREMENT (ABOVE BASE)				
Fiscal Year 2015	N/A	\$50,948	\$67,453	76%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2003-2015)*	N/A	\$417,508	\$834,314	50%

* Lifetime revenues have been calculated using figures from FY 2003 through FY 2015 because tax increment revenue numbers are not available for all years preceding FY 2003.

* * The original budget is not available for this Project Area.

NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

The East Main RDA Project Area was created with the intent of incentivizing commercial and industrial development in American Fork City. Various infrastructure improvements have been completed in the Project Area to date, including improvement of roadways and intersections, culinary water supply, sewer system, landscaping, utilities, and facilities for the disposal of excess groundwater.

Development in the Project Area has consisted of the construction of several commercial and industrial establishments, including several fast food restaurants and retail stores. Notable businesses housed in the Project Area include:

- 👅 5 Buck Pizza
- 7-Eleven
- AF Collision
- Burger King
- Fantastic Sams
- Foundations Insurance
- Fresh Market
- O'Reilly Auto Parts

- 🍯 Pizza Hut
- Rocky Mountain Power
- Shoff Family Dental
- Superior Concrete
- The UPS Store
- 👅 Utah Run
- Whistle Wok

As mentioned, it is anticipated that future improvements in the Project Area will include the relocation of the Main Street/State Street intersection at 200 East, as well as the construction of a signal light at 200 East Main. Again, these projects will be completed only as funds are available after the payment of the debt service on the RDA bonds.



FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi-year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected.

Table 3.8: Project Area Budget

PROJECT AREA BUDGET	FY 20	15-2018
REVENUES	TOTALS	NPV @ 5%
Annual Property Tax Increment	\$288,387	\$253,715
Previous Years Property Tax Increment	16,098	15,332
Total Revenue	\$304,485	\$269,047
EXPENDITURES	TOTALS	NPV @ 5%
RDA Administration	\$15,224	\$13,452
Other Development Activities	107,715	94,397
Debt Service Payments	181,546	161,197
Total Expenditures	\$304,485	\$269,047

OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any major areas of concern with the East Main RDA Project Area and believes that, according to the records reviewed, all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area.

PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, and FY 2015-2018 multi-year budgets.



East Main RDA Project Area

2015 Annual Budget November 1, 2015



2015 ANNUAL REPORT

Tax Year Payment Year2014 2015REVENUE: TAXABLE VALUATION: Total Building Value Real Property\$7.497.40 13.810.10 2.143.85 222.52Total Assessed Value\$16.236.48 202.52Less: Base Year Value\$16.236.48Less: Base Year Value\$10.614.95Tax Rate: Utah County Alpine School District American Fork City American Fork City American Fork Metropolitan Water District Central Utah Vater Conservancy District Less State Assessing & Collecting Less Local Assessing & Collecting Less Tax Rate Differential Outout Total Tax Rate - Area 186:0.0000 1.1199TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Total Tax Increment for Project\$127.36TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated\$76.42Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated\$76.42	
REVENUE: TAXABLE VALUATION: Total Building Value \$ 7,497,40 Real Property 13,810,10 Personal Property 2,143,85 Centerally Assessed 282,52 Total Assessed Value \$ 16,236,48 Less: Base Year Value \$ 16,236,48 Less: Base Year Value \$ 10,614,95 Incremental Assessed Value \$ 10,614,95 Tax Rate: Utah County Utah County 0.1149 Alpine School District 0.8096 American Fork City 0.8096 American Fork Metropolitan Water District 0.0025 Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.0025 Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.0022 Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment \$ 127,36 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
TAXABLE VALUATION:Total Building Value\$Real Property13,810,10Personal Property2,143,85Centerally Assessed282,52Total Assessed Value\$Less: Base Year Value\$Incremental Assessed Value\$Tax Rate:0,6621,53Utah County0,1149Alpine School District0,8096American Fork City0,2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0,0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0,0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0,0025Less State Assessing & Collecting-0,0220Less Tax Rate Differential0,0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1,11999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES\$Total Tax Increment\$Total Tax Increment for Project60TAX INCREMENT REVENUES60	
TAXABLE VALUATION:Total Building Value\$Real Property13,810,10Personal Property2,143,85Centerally Assessed282,52Total Assessed Value\$Less: Base Year Value\$Incremental Assessed Value\$Tax Rate:0,6621,53Utah County0,1149Alpine School District0,8096American Fork City0,2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0,0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0,0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0,0025Less State Assessing & Collecting-0,0220Less Tax Rate Differential0,0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.11999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES\$Total Tax Increment\$Total Tax Increment for Project60TAX INCREMENT REVENUES60	
Total Building Value\$7,497,40Real Property13,810,10Personal Property2,143,85Centerally Assessed282,52Total Assessed Value\$Less: Base Year Value\$Incremental Assessed Value\$Tax Rate:(5,621,53)Utah County0,1149Alpine School District0,8096American Fork City0,2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0,0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0,0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0,0025Less State Assessing & Collecting-0,0220Less Tax Rate Differential0,0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.11999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES\$Total Tax Increment\$Percent of Tax Increment for Project60TAX INCREMENT REVENUES60	
Real Property13,810,10Personal Property2,143,85Centerally Assessed282,52Total Assessed Value\$ 16,236,48Less: Base Year Value(5,621,53Incremental Assessed Value\$ 10,614,95Tax Rate:0.1149Utah County0.1149Alpine School District0.8096American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0422Less State Assessing & Collecting0.0020Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999Total Tax Increment\$ 127,36Percent of Tax Increment for Project60TAX INCREMENT REVENUES60	
Personal Property2,143,85Centerally Assessed282,52Total Assessed Value\$ 16,236,48Less: Base Year Value(5,621,53Incremental Assessed Value\$ 10,614,95Tax Rate:0.1149Utah County0.1149Alpine School District0.8096American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0020North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0020Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0020Less State Assessing & Collecting0.0020Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES\$ 127,36Percent of Tax Increment\$ 127,36TAX INCREMENT REVENUES60	
Centerally Assessed282,52Total Assessed Value\$ 16,236,48Less: Base Year Value(5,621,53Incremental Assessed Value\$ 10,614,95Tax Rate:0.1149Utah County0.1149Alpine School District0.8096American Fork City0.2540American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0422Less State Assessing & Collecting-0.0220Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES\$ 127,36Percent of Tax Increment\$ 127,36TAX INCREMENT REVENUES60	
Total Assessed Value\$16,236,48Less: Base Year Value(5,621,53Incremental Assessed Value\$Tax Rate: Utah County0.1149Utah County0.1149Alpine School District0.8096American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0020Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0020Less State Assessing & Collecting-0.0220Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES\$Percent of Tax Increment\$TAX INCREMENT REVENUES60	
Less: Base Year Value(5,621,53)Incremental Assessed Value\$ 10,614,95)Tax Rate: Utah County Alpine School District0.1149 0.8096 0.25400 American Fork City American Fork Metropolitan Water District 0.00000 North Utah County Water Conservancy District 0.00250 Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.00220 Less State Assessing & Collecting 0.00210 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.00000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186:11999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Total Tax Increment\$ 127,36 \$ 127,36Percent of Tax Increment for Project60	
Incremental Assessed Value\$10,614,95Tax Rate: Utah County0.1149Alpine School District0.8096American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0025Less State Assessing & Collecting-0.0220Less Local Assessing & Collecting-0.0013Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999TAX INCREMENT REVENUES\$Total Tax Increment\$Percent of Tax Increment for Project60	
Tax Rate: 0.1149 Utah County 0.1149 Alpine School District 0.8096 American Fork City 0.2540 American Fork Metropolitan Water District 0.0000 North Utah County Water Conservancy District 0.0025 Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.0422 Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0220 Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment \$ 127,36 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
Tax Rate: 0.1149 Utah County 0.8096 Alpine School District 0.8096 American Fork City 0.2540 American Fork Metropolitan Water District 0.0000 North Utah County Water Conservancy District 0.0025 Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.0422 Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0220 Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment \$ 127,36 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
Utah County0.1149Alpine School District0.8096American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0422Less State Assessing & Collecting-0.0220Less Local Assessing & Collecting-0.0013Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999TAX INCREMENT REVENUESTotal Tax Increment\$ 127,36Percent of Tax Increment for Project60TAX INCREMENT REVENUESColspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2" <td c<="" td=""></td>	
Alpine School District0.8096American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0422Less State Assessing & Collecting-0.0220Less Local Assessing & Collecting-0.0013Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999TAX INCREMENT REVENUESTotal Tax Increment\$ 127,36Percent of Tax Increment for Project60	
American Fork City0.2540American Fork Metropolitan Water District0.0000North Utah County Water Conservancy District0.0025Central Utah Water Conservancy District0.0422Less State Assessing & Collecting-0.0220Less Local Assessing & Collecting-0.0013Less Tax Rate Differential0.0000Total Tax Rate - Area 186:1.1999TAX INCREMENT REVENUESTotal Tax Increment:\$ 127,36Percent of Tax Increment for Project60	
American Fork Metropolitan Water District 0.0000 North Utah County Water Conservancy District 0.0025 Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.0422 Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0220 Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment \$ 127,36 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
North Utah County Water Conservancy District 0.0025 Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.0422 Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0220 Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES \$ 127,36 Total Tax Increment \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60	
Central Utah Water Conservancy District 0.0422 Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0220 Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES \$ 127,36 Total Tax Increment \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0220 Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	
Less State Assessing & Collecting -0.0220 Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 127,36 Total Tax Increment \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
Less Local Assessing & Collecting -0.0013 Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000 Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	
Less Tax Rate Differential 0.0000' Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 127,36 Total Tax Increment: \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60' TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60'	
Total Tax Rate - Area 186: 1.1999 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES \$ Total Tax Increment \$ Total Tax Increment: \$ Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment for Project 600 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	
Total Tax Increment \$ 127,36 Total Tax Increment: \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
Total Tax Increment: \$ 127,36 Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES 60	
Percent of Tax Increment for Project 60 TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	
Tax Incroment to RDA Calculated	
ax increment to NDA - Calculated a 70,42	
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ \$ 50,06	
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA 16,09	
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: \$ 66,16	
EXPENDITURES:	
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds	
Redevelopment Agency Uses	
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% \$ 3,30	
Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities 14,75	
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness	
Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds	
Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal \$ 46,30	
Principal \$ 46,30	
Principal \$ 46,30 Interest 1,79	

East Main RDA Project Area

2016 Annual Budget November 1, 2015



		0015
	x Year	2015
Paymen	t Year	2016
REVENUE:		
TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Total Building Value	\$	7,535,000
Real Property	φ	14,229,500
Personal Property		2,143,855
Centerally Assessed		282,529
Total Assessed Value	\$	16,655,884
Less: Base Year Value		(5,621,532)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	11,034,352
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	132,401
Total Tax Increment:	\$	132,401
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	79,441
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	79,441
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA		-
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	79,441
EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
Redevelopment Agency Uses		
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	3,972
Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities		32,158
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness		
Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds		
Principal	\$	41,800
Interest		1,511
Total Debt Obligations	\$	43,311
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	79,441
	Ŷ	79,441

East Main RDA Project Area

2017 Annua	al Budget
November	1,2015



	Tax Year	2016
	Payment Year	2017
REVENUE:		
TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Total Building Value	\$	7,535,000
Real Property		14,229,500
Personal Property		2,143,855
Centerally Assessed		282,529
Total Assessed Value	\$	16,655,884
Less: Base Year Value		(5,621,532)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	11,034,352
		,
Tax Rate:	1	
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy District	rict	0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
Total Tax Nate - Area Too.		1.1555 /6
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Total Tax Increment	\$	132,401
	\$	132,401 132,401
Total Tax Increment		
Total Tax Increment		
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment:		132,401
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment:		132,401
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project		132,401
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	\$	132,401 60%
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	132,401 60% 79,441
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹	\$ \$ \$	132,401 60%
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA	\$ A	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 -
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹	\$ \$ \$	132,401 60% 79,441
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$ A	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 -
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES:	\$ A	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 -
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$ A	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 -
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds	\$ A	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 -
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses	s ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹	132,401 60% 79,441 - 79,441 - 79,441
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$ A	132,401 60% 79,441 - 79,441 - 79,441 3,972
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses	s ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹	132,401 60% 79,441 - 79,441 - 79,441
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities	s ج م لا ج ج ج	132,401 60% 79,441 - 79,441 - 79,441 3,972
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne	s ج م لا ج ج ج	132,401 60% 79,441 - 79,441 - 79,441 3,972
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds	s A S S S S S	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 - 79,441 3,972 28,076
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal	s ج م لا ج ج ج	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 - 79,441 3,972 28,076 46,200
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal Interest	s A \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	132,401 60% 79,441 - 79,441 - 79,441 3,972 28,076 46,200 1,193
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal	s A S S S S S	132,401 60% 79,441 79,441 - 79,441 3,972 28,076 46,200
Total Tax Increment Total Tax Increment: Percent of Tax Increment for Project TAX INCREMENT REVENUES Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid ¹ Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal Interest	s A \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	132,401 60% 79,441 - 79,441 - 79,441 3,972 28,076 46,200 1,193



2015 ANNUAL REPORT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF AMERICAN FORK CITY, UT

East Main RDA Project Area

Ongoing Budget

Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections November 1, 2015



			===== HISTORIC PROJECTED =====>			0047		TOTALC		
Pa	Tax Year syment Year	2014 2015		2015 2016		2016 2017		2017 2018		TOTALS
EVENUE:	-									
Total Building Value	\$	7,497,400	s	7,535,000	s	7,535,000	s	7,535,000		
Total Land Value	\$	6,312,700		6,694,500		6,694,500		6,694,500		
Real Property	\$	13,810,100		14,229,500		14,229,500		14,229,500		
Personal Property	Ŷ	2,143,855	1000	2,143,855	Ŷ	2,143,855	Ŷ	2,143,855		
Centerally Assessed		282,529		282,529		282,529		282,529		
Total Assessed Value	\$		-	16,655,884	\$	16,655,884	\$	16,655,884		
Less: Base Year Value	\$	(5,621,532)\$	(5,621,532)	\$	(5,621,532)	\$	(5,621,532)		
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	10,614,952	\$	11,034,352	\$	11,034,352	\$	11,034,352		
Tax Rate:				200000000000						
Utah County		0.1149%		0.1149%		0.1149%		0.1149%		
Alpine School District		0.8096%	b	0.8096%		0.8096%		0.8096%		
American Fork City		0.2540%	b	0.2540%		0.2540%		0.2540%		
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%	b	0.0000%		0.0000%		0.0000%		
North Utah County Water Conservancy Distric	t	0.0025%	6	0.0025%		0.0025%		0.0025%		
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%	b	0.0422%		0.0422%		0.0422%		
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%	b	-0.0220%		-0.0220%		-0.0220%		
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%	b	-0.0013%		-0.0013%		-0.0013%		
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%	b	0.0000%		0.0000%		0.0000%		
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%	D	1.1999%	_	1.1999%		1.1999%		
AX INCREMENT REVENUES	1									
Total Tax Increment	\$	127,369	\$	132,401	\$	132,401	\$	132,401	\$	524,
Total Tax Increment:	\$	127,369	\$	132,401	\$	132,401	\$	132,401	\$	524,
				000/		000/		000/	6	
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%	Ď	60%		60%		60%	8	
AX INCREMENT REVENUES										
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	76,421	\$	79,440.72	\$	79,441	\$	79,441	\$	314
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	50,064	¢	79,441	¢	79,441	ç	79,441	¢	288
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA	Ŷ	16,098		/ 3,441	φ	73,441	φ	/ 3,441	*	200,
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$		-	79,441	\$	79,441	\$	79,441	\$	304,
			_							
XPENDITURES:										
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds										
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses										
	\$	3,308	\$	3,972	\$	3,972	\$	3,972	\$	15,
Redevelopment Agency Uses	\$	3,308 14,757	ş	3,972 32,158	\$	3,972 28,076	\$	3,972 32,724	\$	15, 107,
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Other Development Activities			\$		\$		\$		\$	
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness			s		\$		\$		\$	
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds		14,757		32,158	\$	28,076	\$	32,724	\$	107,
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal		46,300		32,158	\$	28,076	\$	32,724	\$	107, 176,
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal Interest		14,757 46,300 1,798		32,158 41,800 1,511	\$	28,076 46,200 1,193	\$	32,724 42,130 615		107, 176, 5,
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal		46,300		32,158	\$	28,076	\$	32,724		107

Note 1: Tax increment actually paid to the Agency is based on actual collections. As the County collects previous years tax increment, it is remitted to the Agency in the current





2015 ANNUAL REPORT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF AMERICAN FORK CITY, UT

Page | 27

WE PROVIDE SOLUTIONS



SECTION 3: OVERVIEW OF THE NORTH VALLEY RDA PROJECT AREA

OVERVIEW							
Туре	Acreage	Purpose	Taxing District	Tax Rate			
RDA	125	Commercial and Industrial	60	0.011999			
		Development					
Creation Year	Base Year	<u>Term</u>	Trigger Year	Expiration Year			
FY 1987	FY 1986	25 Years	FY 1995	FY 2019			
Base Value	<u>TY 2014 Value</u>	Increase	FY 2015 Increment	Remaining Life			
\$6,282,698	\$81,973,704	1,205%	\$576,924	4 Years			

The North Valley RDA Project Area was created in September 1987 and is governed by the "Redevelopment Plan for the North Valley Redevelopment Area" dated September 22, 1987. This document defines the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating tax entity. As the North Valley RDA Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this Project Area.

Table 4.1: Project Area Overview



The purpose of the North Valley RDA Project Area is the incentivizing of commercial and industrial development along I-15 in American Fork. This includes the elimination of those factors that contribute to a condition of blight, reduction of the flooding and high ground water condition, and construction of various infrastructure improvements, including streets, utilities, drainage systems, and landscaping. As in the other Project Areas, these improvements are intended to be done in such a way as to serve the needs of the future occupants of the Project Area, as well as the general public. It is also intended that the creation of the Project Area will eliminate the potential for fragmented development and instead foster the timely and coordinated efforts for the commercial and industrial development of the area.



The Project Area lies entirely within American Fork City and includes approximately 125 acres of property located along the east side of I-15 from approximately 620 South to 1100 South. The Project Area is zoned for both commercial and industrial use. A map of the Project Area is included as Exhibit C.



SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 4.2: Sources of Funds

2015 SOURCES OF FUND	S
2015 Property Tax Increment Collected and Paid to Agency ⁵	\$546,755
Previous Years Tax Increment Paid in 2015	30,169
Total Sources of Funds	\$576,924

Table 4.3: Tax Increment Levels

TAX INCREMENT LEVELS				
Years	%			
1995-1999	100%			
2000-2004	80%			
2005-2009	75%			
2010-2014	70%			
2014-2019	60%			

USES OF FUNDS

Table 4.4: Uses of Funds

2015 USES OF FUNDS				
RDA Administration	\$28,846			
Property Tax Incentive to Company	-			
Other Development Activities	153,678			
Debt Service Payments	394,400			
Total Uses of Funds	\$576,924			

The planned uses of funds available for other development activities include (1) roadway construction along Quality Drive consisting of grading, sewer, water, storm drain, curb, gutter, and asphalt, (2) pavement overlay, (3) completion of 620 South, and (4) pavement reconstruction along 600 East. The cost associated with these improvements is estimated to be around \$800,000. These prospective projects will be completed as funding permits, after payment of the debt service on the RDA bonds.

Per the "Tax Incentive and Participation Agreement" dated August 2015, property tax incentive payments will be remitted to Young Living Essential Oils, LC ("the Company") beginning in FY 2016. These incentive payments are conditioned upon the Company's obligation to make various improvements within the Project Area as outlined later in this report.

⁵ Utah County remits tax increment to the Agency only to the point that it has been collected from property owners. Thus, although the Agency may be entitled to \$544,930 in annual tax increment for FY 2015, the County remits to the Agency the portion that has been collected, which totals \$546,755. In the same vein, the County also remits any additional tax increment that has been collected from property owners for prior year delinquencies. For FY 2015, this is represented by the \$30,169 figure outlined above.



DEBT SERVICE OBLIGATIONS

As discussed in the Section I of this report, bonds were issued in April 2005 with the proceeds being utilized to fund cultural and recreational improvements in American Fork City. A portion of the annual tax increment from each project area will be used to make the annual debt service payments. The portion of annual debt service payments to be paid from tax increment generated in the North Valley RDA Project Area is 82%.

DEVELOPER OBLIGATIONS AND INCENTIVES

The Company, Young Living Essential Oils, LC, entered into an agreement with the Agency in August 2015, committing to make certain improvements and investments in the Project Area in exchange for receiving specified capped amounts of tax increment. Beginning in FY 2016 and ending with the expiration of the Project Area in FY 2019, the Agency has agreed to remit annual payments to the Company in an amount equal to 65% of the taxes levied on the Site. These tax incentive payments are not to exceed an annual amount of \$35,000 or a total aggregated amount of \$125,000.

Tax incentive payments to the Company will be based upon area in the Project Area that has been improved by the Company, referred to above as the "Site". The Site is currently comprised of parcel #57:023:0005, containing 4.5 acres. The tax incentive payment will be calculated based on the assessed values of both the real and personal property associated with this parcel.

The Agency acknowledges that while 65% of the taxes levied on the Site will be remitted to the Company, the Agency will only receive 60% of the incremental taxes paid and collected within the Project Area, as outlined in Table 4.3. If a shortage exists between the tax increment collected by the Agency and the tax incentive payment due to the Company, the Agency will commit other Agency revenues in order to adequately meet this commitment.

Table 4.5: Capped Development Incentive CAPPED DEVELOPMENT INCENTIVE Annual Maximum Tax Incentive Payment to Company \$35,000 Aggregated Maximum Incentive Payment (FY 2016 - FY 2019) \$125,000



PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 4.6: Growth in Assessed Value

GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE	CURRENT YEAR	PRIOR YEAR/ BASE YEAR	GROWTH RATE	AAGR
ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA				
Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 2013)	\$81,973,704	\$93,637,801	-12.5%	-12.5%
Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 1986)	\$81,973,704	\$6,282,698	1,205%	9.6%

ASSESSED VALUES IN AMERICAN FORK CITY				
Annual Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 2013)	\$1,563,159,186	\$1,424,178,291	9.8%	9.8%
Lifetime Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 1997) ⁶	\$1,563,159,186	\$481,013,414	225%	7.2%

The sharp drop in property values in the Project Area from 2013 to 2014 is explained by several factors:

- The relocation of Symantec to a site outside of the Project Area. In previous years Symantec has accounted for 38% of personal property values; hence the closing of this business caused a significant decline in property tax revenues.
- The decline in assessed real property values by nearly 30% for each of the following parcels: 57:015:0001, 57:035:0032, 57:035:0033, 57:035:033. As these four properties have represented close to 20% of the total real property value of the Project Area in previous years, this reduction in value also had a substantial effect on property tax revenues.

BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 4.7: Benefits to Taxing Entities

BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITES
*Increased Property Tax Revenues
*Increased Sales Tax Revenues

*Job creation spurred by commercial and industrial development

The taxing entities are currently benefiting from increased assessed values in the Project Area as a portion of tax increment is being returned to the taxing entities. As shown below, the annual tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to taxing entities is 482% above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels. This pass through increment will continue to increase as assessed values rise. Since FY 2003, the total tax increment (above the base amount) received by the taxing entities is 349% above what would have been realized based on base year levels.

⁶ The year 1997 is being used for this comparison because this is the earliest year for which assessed values are available for the City as a whole.



Table 4.8: Growth in Tax Increment

GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT	ORIGINAL BUDGET** REVENUES	ACTUAL REVENUES	BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES	% ABOVE BASE
TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA				
Fiscal Year 2015	N/A	\$908,216	\$75,386	1,205%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2003-2015)*	N/A	\$11,609,618	\$932,440	1,245%

PASS THROUGH INCREMENT (ABOVE BASE)				
Fiscal Year 2015	N/A	\$363,287	\$75,386	482%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2003-2015)*	N/A	\$3,253,584	\$932,440	349%

* Lifetime revenues have been calculated using figures from FY 2003 through FY 2015 because tax increment revenue numbers are not available for all years preceding FY 2003.

* * The original budget is not available for this Project Area.

NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

The North Valley RDA Project Area was created with the intent of incentivizing commercial and industrial development in American Fork City. Development projects have included various infrastructure improvements and the construction of several commercial and industrial establishments, including several fast food restaurants and retail stores. Notable businesses housed in the Project Area include:

- Firstotle Academy
- BidSync
- Brain Garden
- DOMO
- Echostar Hughes
- Elearning Brothers
- Exxon Food Mart
- Fluke Calibration
- Holiday Inn Express & Suites
- Idea Sphere
- Jamberry
- Mylar Disability
- Odyssey Charter School

- Orange Soda
- Peppermint Place
- Rise Broadband
- Standard Plumbing Supply
- Sushi House
- Taco Bell
- TAGS Thrift
- ThermoWorks
- Torion
- Total Computer Solutions
- Tri-Phase Electric
- TwinLabs
- VISA

Recently, improvements along 860 East consisting of underground power and conduit, curb, gutter, sidewalk, storm drain, and "Welcome to American Fork" signage were completed.

As described earlier in this report, the Agency recently entered into an agreement with Young Living Essential Oils, LC, requiring the Company to provide specified improvements in the Project Area in exchange for the Agency providing certain amounts of tax increment. These developments will primarily involve the building formerly occupied by Cal-Ranch and will include, but are not limited to:

- Retrofitting of an existing 49,000 square foot building and renovating this building into a Call Center for the Company; and
- **\$2,800,000** of capital investment in the building and related improvements



It is anticipated that the capital investment and improvements will create the equivalent to 300 full-time positions within the Project Area.

In addition, it is anticipated that other future improvements in the Project Area will include (1) roadway construction along Quality Drive consisting of grading, sewer, water, storm drain, curb, gutter, and asphalt, (2) pavement overlay, (3) completion of 620 South, and (4) pavement reconstruction along 600 East. Again, these projects will be completed only as funds are available after the payment of the debt service on the RDA bonds.

Growth within the Project Area has also had a positive impact on development in the surrounding areas. Recent developments in the areas adjacent to the Project Area include Thermoworks (industrial), Harrington Hollow Subdivision (residential), and Sporkland (commercial office space). In addition, the City Council recently approved the concept plan for a development that will include 312 apartment units and 138 townhome units directly north of the Project Area boundary. This development also has a commercial component along 500 E that will likely consist of some restaurant space. This project is still in the planning stage and the developer is expected to propose a more concrete site plan in the near future.

FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi-year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected.

PROJECT AREA BUDGET	FY 2015-2019	
REVENUES	TOTALS	NPV @ 5%
Annual Property Tax Increment	\$2,893,547	\$2,502,054
Previous Years Property Tax Increment	30,169	28,732
Total Revenue	\$2,923,716	\$2,530,787
EXPENDITURES	TOTALS	NPV @ 5%
EXPENDITURES RDA Administration	TOTALS \$146,186	NPV @ 5% \$126,539
		Ŭ
RDA Administration	\$146,186	\$126,539
RDA Administration Property Tax Incentive to Company	\$146,186 125,000	\$126,539 106,445

Table 4.9: Project Area Budget

OTHER ISSUES

LYRB identified one item of concern with regard to the decline in assessed values in the Project Area over the past year. As mentioned above, it was found that parcels 57:015:0001, 57:035:0032, 57:035:0033, and 57:035:033 each experienced a decline in value of close to 30%. LYRB approached the Utah County Assessor's office regarding this uncharacteristically sharp drop in value and discovered that the property owner, Utah Lab, LLC, went through the tax appeal process in 2014. The Assessor's Office confirmed that significant market documentation was provided by the owner and, as a result, the assessed values of these properties were reduced through Stipulation Agreements.



LYRB has not identified any other areas of concern with the North Valley RDA Project Area and believes that, according to the records reviewed, all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area.

PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, and FY 2015-2019 multi-year budgets.



North Valley RDA Project Area

2015 Annual Budget November 1, 2015



Tax Y	2	00/1
		2014
Payment Y	ear	2015
REVENUE:		
TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Total Building Value	\$	48,561,900
Real Property		72,060,599
Personal Property		9,830,004
Centerally Assessed Total Assessed Value		83,101
Total Assessed Value	\$	81,973,704
Less: Base Year Value		(6,282,698)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	75,691,006
	_	
Tax Rate:		0.444004
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	908,216
Total Tax Increment:	\$	908,216
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	-	
	·	544.000
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	544,930
		5 10 755
	S	546,755
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	*	
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA		30,169
	\$	
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:		30,169
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES:		30,169
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:		30,169
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		30,169
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses	\$	30,169 576,924
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%		30,169 576,924
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company	\$	30,169 576,924 28,846
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	30,169 576,924
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities	\$	30,169 576,924 28,846 -
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness	\$	30,169 576,924 28,846 -
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds	\$	30,169 576,924 28,846 - 153,678
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal	\$	30,169 576,924 28,846 - 153,678 379,660
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal Interest	\$ \$ \$	30,169 576,924 28,846 - 153,678 379,660 14,740
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA: EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal	\$	30,169 576,924 28,846 - 153,678 379,660



North Valley RDA Project Area

2016 Annual Budget November 1, 2015



	Von	2015
	Year	2015 2016
Payment	Tear	2010
REVENUE:		
Total Building Value	s	51,689,200
Real Property		77,862,200
Personal Property		9,830,004
Centerally Assessed		83,101
Total Assessed Value	\$	87,775,305
Less: Base Year Value		(6,282,698)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	81,492,607
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	977,830
Total Tax Increment:	\$	977,830
Percent of Tax Increment for Project	21	60%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	586,698
	•	500.000
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	586,698
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA		-
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	586,698
EXPENDITURES:		
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
Toject Area budget and oses of funds		
Redevelopment Agency Uses		00.005
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	s	79.000
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	29,335
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company	\$	35,000
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities	\$	35,000
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness	\$	35,000
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds		35,000 167,217
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal	\$ \$	35,000 167,217 342,760
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal Interest	\$	35,000 167,217 342,760 12,386
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal		35,000 167,217 342,760
North Valley RDA Project Area

2017 Annual Budget November 1, 2015



Tax Year		2016
		2016
Payment Year		2017
REVENUE:	-	
TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Total Building Value	\$	51,689,200
Real Property	Ψ	77,862,200
Personal Property		9,830,004
Centerally Assessed		83,101
Total Assessed Value	\$	87,775,305
Less: Base Year Value		(6,282,698)
Incremental Assessed Value	s	81,492,607
Incremental Assessed Value		01,452,007
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	977,830
Total Tax Increment:	\$	977,830
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%
	-	1
	•	500.000
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	586,698
	•	500.000
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	586,698
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA	-	-
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	586,698
EXPENDITURES:		
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
Redevelopment Agency Uses		
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	29,335
Property Tax Incentive to Company	Ψ	35,000
Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities		138,914
Reserve Fulla Outer Development Activities		100,014
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness		
Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds		
Principal	\$	373,800
Interest	Ψ.	9,649
Total Debt Obligations	\$	383,449
. Sai Bost Boigaiona	Ψ.	000,440
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	586,698



North Valley RDA Project Area

Ongoing Budget

Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections November 1, 2015



	<====		PR	OJECTED ====	≔>							
Tax Year		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		TOTALS
Payment Year		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		
REVENUE:	—				_		_		-			
Total Building Value	s	48,561,900	s	51,689,200 \$	5	51,689,200	s	51,689,200	s	51,689,200		
Total Land Value	ŝ	23,498,699	\$	26,173,000 \$		26,173,000		26,173,000		26,173,000		
Real Property	ŝ	72,060,599	\$	77,862,200 \$		77,862,200		77,862,200		77,862,200		
Personal Property	Ť	9,830,004	Ť	9,830,004	*	9,830,004	Ŷ	9,830,004	Ŷ	9,830,004		
Centerally Assessed		83,101		83,101		83,101		83,101		83,101		
Total Assessed Value	\$	81,973,704	\$	87,775,305 \$	\$	87,775,305	\$	87,775,305	\$	87,775,305		
	1		-	, , ,			· ·	, ,	-			
Less: Base Year Value	\$	(6,282,698)	\$	(6,282,698) \$	\$	(6,282,698)	\$	(6,282,698)	\$	(6,282,698)		
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	75,691,006	\$	81,492,607 \$	\$	81,492,607	\$	81,492,607	\$	81,492,607		
			_									
Tax Rate:												
Utah County		0.1149%		0.1149%		0.1149%		0.1149%		0.1149%		
Alpine School District		0.8096%		0.8096%		0.8096%		0.8096%		0.8096%		
American Fork City		0.2540%		0.2540%		0.2540%		0.2540%		0.2540%		
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%		0.0025%		0.0025%		0.0025%		0.0025%		
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%		0.0422%		0.0422%		0.0422%		0.0422%		
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%		-0.0220%		-0.0220%		-0.0220%		-0.0220%		
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%		-0.0013%		-0.0013%		-0.0013%		-0.0013%		
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%		0.000%		0.0000%		0.0000%		0.0000%		
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%		1.1999%		1.1999%		1.1999%		1.1999%		
	_		_									
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES												
Total Tax Increment	\$	908,216		977,830 \$		977,830		977,830		977,830		4,819,53
Total Tax Increment:	\$	908,216	\$	977,830 \$	\$	977,830	\$	977,830	\$	977,830	\$	4,819,5
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		60%		60%		60%		60%		60%		
	_											
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES												
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	544,930	\$	586,698 \$	\$	586,698	\$	586,698	\$	586,698	\$	2,891,7
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	546,755	\$	586,698 \$	\$	586,698	\$	586,698	\$	586,698	\$	2,893,5
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA		30,169		0.75		-		17		-		30,1
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	576,924	\$	586,698 \$	\$	586,698	\$	586,698	\$	586,698	\$	2,923,7
									_			
EXPENDITURES:												
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds												
Redevelopment Agency Uses												1000
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	28,846	\$	29,335 \$	6	29,335	\$	29,335	\$	29,335	\$	
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company	\$	-	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	\$	20,000	\$	125,0
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	28,846 - 153,678	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	125,0
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities	\$	-	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	\$	20,000	\$	125,0
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness	\$	-	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	\$	20,000	\$	125,0
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds	\$	- 153,678	\$	35,000 167,217	₿	35,000 138,914	\$	35,000 176,516	\$	20,000	\$	125,(1,173,6
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness	\$	- 153,678 379,660	\$	35,000 167,217 342,760	\$	35,000 138,914 373,800	\$	35,000 176,516 340,870	\$	20,000	\$	125,0 1,173,6 1,437,0
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal Interest	\$	- 153,678 379,660 14,740	\$	35,000 167,217 342,760 12,386	₽	35,000 138,914 373,800 9,649	\$	35,000 176,516 340,870 4,977	\$	20,000		146,1 125,0 1,173,6 1,437,0 41,7
Redevelopment Agency Uses RDA Administrative Fees @ 5% Property Tax Incentive to Company Reserve Fund/Other Development Activities Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness Annual Debt Service on Series 2005 Bonds Principal	\$	- 153,678 379,660	\$	35,000 167,217 342,760	₽	35,000 138,914 373,800	\$	35,000 176,516 340,870	\$	20,000	\$ \$	125,0 1,173,6 1,437,0

Note 1: Tax increment actually paid to the Agency is based on actual collections. As the County collects previous years tax increment, it is remitted to the Agency in the current year.



EXHIBIT C: MAP OF THE NORTH VALLEY RDA PROJECT AREA



2015 ANNUAL REPORT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF AMERICAN FORK CITY, UT

Page | 39

WE PROVIDE SOLUTIONS



SECTION 4: OVERVIEW OF THE EGG FARM EDA PROJECT AREA

Table 5.1: Project Area Overview

OVERVIEW						
<u>Type</u>	Acreage	Purpose	Taxing District	Tax Rate		
EDA	97	Commercial Development	60	0.011999		
Creation Year	Base Year	Term	Trigger Year	Expiration Year*		
FY 2001	FY 1999	24 Years	FY 2005	FY 2028		
<u>Base Value</u> \$705,802	TY 2014 Value \$63,730,588	<u>Increase</u> 8,930%	FY 2015 Increment \$622,437	<u>Remaining Life</u> Up to 13 Years		

* The expiration year of 2028 may be adjusted depending upon whether or not maximum tax increment levels are reached prior to 2028, as outlined in the Amended Project Area Budget.



The Egg Farm EDA Project Area was created in October 17, 2000 and is governed by the "Egg Farm Economic Development Plan" dated September 8, 2000 as well as the "Official Amended Project Area Budget" dated October 24, 2013. These documents define the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating tax entity.

The purpose of the Egg Farm EDA Project Area is the incentivizing of commercial development in American Fork City, including the attraction of major employers and developers willing to invest private capital into new businesses which will provide additional jobs and broaden the tax base of the community. This commercial development in the Project Area is to be facilitated through the following actions:





- Remove impediments to land disposition and development through assembly of land into reasonably sized and shaped parcels necessary for economic development served by improved public utilities, infrastructure improvements, and new public or private facilities.
- Eliminate environmental deficiencies, irregular lot subdivision, improper drainage, overcrowding or underutilization of real property.
- Achieve an environment reflecting a high level of concern for architectural, landscape and urban design principals, developed through encouragement, guidance, appropriate controls, and financial and professional assistance to owner participants and developers.
- Fromote and market the Project Area for economic development complimentary to existing businesses and industries, or would enhance the economic base of the City through diversification.
- Frovide utilities, streets, curbs, sidewalks, parking areas, landscape areas, and other infrastructure improvements as appropriate and as necessary.
- Frovide improved public streets and road access to and within the Project Area to facilitate better traffic and pedestrian circulation, reduce traffic hazards, and to promote air quality.
- Ensure compatible relationships among land uses and quality standards for development, such that the area functions as a unified and viable center of economic activity for the City.

The Project Area lies entirely within American Fork City and includes approximately 97 acres of property located along the west side of I-15 from 1100 South to approximately 1500 South, and is bound on the west by the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks. The Project Area is zoned for both commercial and industrial use. A map of the Project Area is included as Exhibit D.

SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 5.2: Sources of Funds

2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS				
2015 Property Tax Increment Collected and Paid to Agency '	\$564,523			
Previous Years Tax Increment Paid in 2015	57,914			
Total Sources of Funds	\$622,437			

Table 5.3: Tax Increment Levels

TAX INCREMENT LEVELS				
Years	%			
2005-2028	75%			

⁷ Utah County remits tax increment to the Agency only to the point that it has been collected from property owners. Thus, although the Agency may be entitled to \$567,176 in annual tax increment for FY 2015, the County remits to the Agency the portion that has been collected, which totals \$564,523. In the same vein, the County also remits any additional tax increment that has been collected from property owners for prior year delinquencies. For FY 2015, this is represented by the \$57,914 figure outlined above.



The Project Area was originally intended to draw property tax increment beginning with the taxes collected in 2004 and remitted to the Agency in 2005 and continue for 24 years with the final tax increment being collected in 2027 and remitted to the Agency in 2028. However, the Project Area Budget was amended in 2013 and now includes a provision outlining that the Project Area will receive tax increment for 24 years or until the total tax increment received by the Agency after tax year 2012 reaches a cap of \$9,262,291, whichever occurs first.

USES OF FUNDS

Table 5.4: Uses of Funds

2015 USES OF FUNDS	
RDA Administration	\$31,122
Affordable Housing Fund (20%)	124,487
Public Infrastructure (25%)	155,609
Developer Infrastructure Reimbursement – Principal	246,526
Developer Infrastructure Reimbursement – Interest @ 2.5%	64,692
Total Uses of Funds	\$622,437

Table 5.5: Allocation of Tax Increment Funds

ALLOCATION OF TAX INCREMENT FUNDS					
Uses of Funds	Allocation				
RDA Administration	5%				
Affordable Housing Fund	20%				
Public Infrastructure	25%				
Developer Infrastructure Reimbursement 50%					
Total	100%				

Reimbursements for Developer Infrastructure Improvements consist of a principal payment, along with interest calculated at a rate of 2.5%.

It is planned that the amounts available for Public Infrastructure Costs will be used for property purchase, roadway construction, and improvements to culinary water, pressurized irrigation, and storm drain systems which are estimated to cost \$500,000. A detail of these planned improvements is given later in this report.

DEVELOPMENT OBLIGATIONS AND INCENTIVES

As outlined in the Agreement for Private Development of Land (ADL), the Agency agreed to reimburse the land owner for the costs of certain infrastructure improvements and developments that would create jobs in the Project Area. Tax increment is to be used for this reimbursement in an amount of \$3,245,944 plus interest at a rate of 2.5%. Table 5.5 above shows the uses of tax increment funds by the Agency, 50 percent of which is dedicated to reimbursing the Developer for infrastructure improvements until all obligations are satisfied.



The Amended Project Area Budget adopted in 2013 also shows that the Agency may be able to accelerate payments to the Developer with the last payment estimated to take place in 2022. The acceleration of repayment to the Developer will provide a benefit to the Agency as it will retain the full 75% of tax increment generated, allowing the Agency to fund additional infrastructure improvements. This will serve to further enhance the Project Area until it expires in either tax year 2027 or when the total amount of tax increment received by the Agency after tax year 2012 reaches \$9,262,291.

To this point, a total of \$904,790 in principal has been repaid to the Developer. These payments are outlined in the table below, along with projected payments for FY 2015 through FY 2022.

DEVELOPER PRINCIPAL PAYMENT	SCHEDULE
2005	\$(5,012)
2006	4,126
2007	4,962
2008	8,080
2009	59,705
2010	96,638
2011	99,437
2012	102,295
2013	105,228
2014	182,803
2015	246,526
Total Principal Payments to Developer Through 2015	\$904,790
Projected Payments	
2016	313,588
2017	332,687
2018	352,600
2019	373,360
2020	394,997
2021	417,544
2022	156,378
Total Projected Principal Payments Through 2022	\$2,341,154
Grand Total to be Paid to Developer (Capped Amount)	\$3,245,944

Table 5.6: Developer Payment Schedule



PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 5.7: Realization of Tax Increment

REALIZATION OF TAX INCREMENT	ORIGINAL/ AMENDED BUDGET	ACTUAL BUDGET & CURRENT PROJECTION	% OF PROJECTION
TAX INCREMENT GENERATED IN PROJECT AREA			
Comparison to Original Budget			
Property Tax Increment – FY 2015	\$357,455	\$622,437	174%
Property Tax Increment – FY 2005 – 2015	\$3,871,958	\$3,814,694	99%
Projected Property Tax Increment – FY 2005 - 2026	\$8,009,784	\$12,056,403	151%
Comparison to Amended Budget			
Property Tax Increment – FY 2015	\$624,739	\$622,437	99%
Projected Property Tax Increment – FY 2005 - 2026	\$11,726,367	\$12,056,403	103%

The projections in the amended multi-year budget adopted by the Agency in 2013 differ dramatically from those contained in the original budget due to adjustments that have been made based on actual development and assessed values through 2013.

RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 5.8: Growth in Assessed Value

GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE	CURRENT YEAR	PRIOR YEAR/ BASE YEAR	GROWTH RATE	AAGR
ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA				
Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 2013)	\$63,730,588	\$54,868,618	16.2%	16.2%
Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 1999)	\$63,730,588	\$705,802	8,930%	35.0%

ASSESSED VALUES IN AMERICAN FORK CITY				
Annual Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 2013)	\$1,563,159,186	\$1,424,178,291	9.8%	9.8%
Lifetime Growth in American Fork City (2014 vs. 1999)	\$1,563,159,186	\$618,527,099	153%	6.4%

BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 5.9: Benefits to Taxing Entities

BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITES

*Increased Property Tax Revenues

*Increased Sales Tax Revenues

*Creation of high quality jobs spurred by commercial development

*Higher growth in tax base compared to non-incentivized areas

- Current AAGR for the Project Area is more than five times that of non-incentivized areas



The most significant benefit to the taxing entities will be realized when the life of the Project Area expires. Although the Project Area was originally intended to expire in tax year 2027, the amended budget allows for the early termination of the Project Area which is currently projected to be tax year 2024. This projected early termination will provide the taxing entities with three extra years of tax increment based on the full assessed value of the Project Area.

The table below shows the approximate benefit to the taxing entities of receiving 100% of tax increment after the Agency cap is reached in tax year 2024. Outlined therein is the original 25% of tax increment, as well as the additional 75% of tax increment that will be received by the taxing entities over these two years.

It is important to point out that this projected expiration year may be adjusted depending on when total tax increment amounts paid to the Agency after tax year 2012 reach \$9,262,291.

6 , ,					
PROJECTED TAX INCREMENT REVENUES:					
EXPIRATION OF PROJECT AREA IN TY 2024					
ENTITY	ORIGINAL 25%	ADDITIONAL 75%			
CINITI	TAX INCREMENT	TAX INCREMENT			
Utah County	\$78,854	\$236,562			
Alpine School District	696,946	2,090,840			
American Fork City	218,657	655,970			
North Utah County Water Conservancy District	2,152	6,456			
Central Utah Water Conservancy District	36,328	108,984			
Total	\$1,032,937	\$3,098,812			

Table 5.10: Benefit to Taxing Entities of Early Project Termination

Currently, the taxing entities are benefiting from increased assessed values in the Project Area as a portion of tax increment is being returned to the taxing entities. As shown below, the annual tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to taxing entities is 2,232% above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels. This pass through increment will continue to increase as assessed values rise. Since FY 2005, the total tax increment (above the base amount) received by the taxing entities is 1,619% above what would have been realized based on base year levels.

Table 5.11: Growth in Tax Increment

GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT	ORIGINAL BUDGET REVENUES	ACTUAL REVENUES	BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES	% ABOVE BASE
TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA				
Fiscal Year 2015	\$476,594	\$756,234	\$8,469	8,930%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2005-2015)	\$5,162,611	\$5,816,003	\$89,798	6,477%

PASS THROUGH INCREMENT (ABOVE BASE)				
Fiscal Year 2015	\$119,149	\$189,095	\$8,469	2,232%
Lifetime Revenue (FY 2005-2015)	\$1,290,653	\$1,454,001	\$89,798	1,619%



NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

The Egg Farm EDA Project Area was created with the intent of incentivizing commercial development in American Fork City. The financing of infrastructure improvements has spurred the development of the North Pointe Business Park, which has housed some of the first high-tech businesses in northern Utah County. This development has served as a magnet for other high-tech companies in the valley. Notable businesses located in the Project Area include:

- AMP Security
- CED
- Certiport
- Coldwell Banker Commercial
- Franson Civil Engineering
- Henry Schein Practice Solutions

- Marketecture
- Morinda
- Novarad
- Power Innovations
- Rain International

The City has approved the expansion of Morinda, and Roderick Enterprises, the developer behind the North Pointe Business Park, is expected to propose Phase II of that project soon.

Recently, construction was completed on an office building located at 1220 South 630 East, providing a significant boost in values in the Project Area. This property came on the tax rolls in tax year 2014 with an assessed value of \$8,480,700, and the value is expected to be at \$16,764,500 in tax year 2015. Another new office building, located at 584 East 100 South, will come on the tax rolls in 2015 and is currently valued at \$12,249,100. With the completion of these two projects, the Project Area is expected to realize a substantial increase in total assessed value in TY 2015, which will translate to a significant increase in tax increment revenues in FY 2016.

Planned improvements in the Project Area, and their associated costs, to the extent that sufficient tax increment funds are available, are outlined in the table below:

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN PROJECT AREA						
PRIORITY	PROJECT	COST*				
I	Property Purchase	\$393,000				
2	Reconstruction of 860 East	\$500,000				
3	Widening of 630 East	\$250,000				
4	Widening of 1300 East	\$360,000				
5	Widening of 1500 South **	\$2,986,066				
6	Widening of Sam White Lane	\$1,643,987				
7	Culinary Water: 16" New Water Line	\$192,664				
8	Pressurized Irrigation: 12" Pipe	\$142,768				
9	Storm drain pipe	\$589,671				
Total		\$7,048,156				

Table 5.12: Qualified Improvements within Project Area

* The cost only includes the cost of infrastructure in the Project Area, not necessarily for the entire project.

** Alpine School District will benefit directly from improvements planned for 1500 South as school buses use this road extensively.

The map of the Project Area shown in Exhibit D outlines the location of these proposed improvements.



The Agency/City plans to fund these improvements using both tax increment revenues and impact fee revenues. Tax increment will be used first, followed by impact fees, which may not be available until 2023 according to the schedule of improvements shown in the current impact fee study. The City may be able to receive some revenues from Mountainland Association of Governments ("MAG") for the widening of 1500 South, but this is yet to be discussed with MAG. If tax increment revenues are not sufficient to fund these projects, the City will be forced to wait until impact fees are available, revenues are received from MAG, or a developer agrees to construct the improvements.

The areas surrounding the boundaries of the Project Area have also experienced growth in connection with development within the Project Area. Most recently, Ken Garff Ford opened an auto dealership adjacent to the Project Area.

FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi-year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected.

PROJECT AREA BUDGET	FY 2015-2026			
REVENUES	TOTALS	NPV @ 5%		
Annual Property Tax Increment	\$8,806,232	\$6,569,041		
Prior Years Property Tax Increment	57,914	55,156		
Total Revenue	\$8,864,145	\$6,624,197		
EXPENDITURES	TOTALS	NPV @ 5%		
EXPENDITURES RDA Administration	TOTALS \$598,415	NPV @ 5% \$428,707		
		J		
RDA Administration Affordable Housing Fund (20%) Public Infrastructure (25%)	\$598,415	\$428,707		
RDA Administration Affordable Housing Fund (20%)	\$598,415 2,393,658	\$428,707 1,714,829		
RDA Administration Affordable Housing Fund (20%) Public Infrastructure (25%)	\$598,415 2,393,658 2,992,073	\$428,707 1,714,829 2,143,537		

Table 5.13: Project Area Budget

OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any major areas of concern with the Egg Farm EDA Project Area and believes that, according to the records reviewed, all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area.

PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017 and FY 2015-2028 multi-year budgets.

2015 Annual Budget November 1, 2015



	Tax Year	2014
	Payment Year	2015
REVENUE: TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Real Property	s	60,810,200
Personal Property	Ŷ	2,640,598
Centerally Assessed		279,790
Total Assessed Value	\$	63,730,588
Less: Base Year Value		(705,802)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	63,024,786
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District	ine .	0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy District	nct	0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		-0.0220%
Less State Assessing & Collecting Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
Total Tax Hate - Area Tool		1.1000 //
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		1
Total Tax Increment	s	756,234
Total Tax Increment:	S	756,234
a of an exercised in the another states of the foreign		,
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		75%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	567,176
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	564,523
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RD		57,914
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	\$	622,437
EXPENDITURES:		
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
D. J		
Redevelopment Agency Uses	0	04.400
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	31,122
Affordable Housing Fund @ 20%		124,487
Public Infrastructure Costs/Agency @ 25%		155,609
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne	ess	
Developer Infrastructure Reimbursement ²		
Principal		246,526
Interest (@ 2.5%)		64,692
Total Debt Obligations		311,218
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	622,437
ISTAL LATENDITORES	3	022,437

2016 Annual Budget	
November 1, 2015	



	Tax Year	2015
	Payment Year	2015
	rayment real	2010
REVENUE:		
TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Real Property	\$	80,485,000
Personal Property		2,640,598
Centerally Assessed		279,790
Total Assessed Value	\$	83,405,388
Less: Base Year Value		(705,802)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	82,699,586
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy Distric	t 🔤	0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	992,312
Total Tax Increment:	\$	992,312
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		75%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	s	744,234
	Ť	1 11,201
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	s	744,234
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA	Ŷ	744,204
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	s	744,234
Total Tax increment Revenue to RDA.	Ŷ	144,234
EXPENDITURES:		
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
Redevelopment Agency Uses		
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	s	37,212
Affordable Housing Fund @ 20%	Ť	148,847
Public Infrastructure Costs/Agency @ 25%		186.059
Tublic Innastructure Costs/Agency @ 25/6		100,000
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness		
Developer Infrastructure Reimbursement ²		
		040 500
Principal		313,588
Interest (@ 2.5%)		58,529
Total Debt Obligations		372,117
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	s	744.004
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	744,234

2017 Annua	l Budget
November	1,2015



		2010
	Tax Year	2016
	Payment Year	2017
REVENUE:		
TAXABLE VALUATION:		
Real Property	\$	82,899,550
Personal Property	Ŷ	2,719,816
Centerally Assessed		288,184
Total Assessed Value	s	85,907,550
		,,
Less: Base Year Value		(705,802)
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	85,201,748
Tax Rate:		
Utah County		0.1149%
Alpine School District		0.8096%
American Fork City		0.2540%
American Fork Metropolitan Water District		0.0000%
North Utah County Water Conservancy Dist	rict	0.0025%
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:		1.1999%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Total Tax Increment	\$	1,022,336
Total Tax Increment:	\$	1,022,336
Description of Taxabase of the Description		75%
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		73%
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES		
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	\$	766,752
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	766,752
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RD		-
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	s	766,752
		100,102
EXPENDITURES:		
Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds		
,		
Redevelopment Agency Uses		
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	\$	38,338
Affordable Housing Fund @ 20%	Ť	153,350
Public Infrastructure Costs/Agency @ 25%		191,688
. abie fill ast detare Costs/Agency @ 25%		131,000
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedne	255	
Developer Infrastructure Reimbursement ²		000.007
Principal		332,687
Interest (@ 2.5%)		50,689
Total Debt Obligations		383,376
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	766,752
TO TAL EXPENDITURES	\$	766,752



Ongoing Budget

Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections November 1, 2015

	- 19-10									•						
	<= Tax Year nent Year	==== HISTORIC 2014 2015	PROJECTED ====> 2015 2016	> 2016 2017	2017 2018	2018 2019	2019 2020	2020 2021	2021 2022	2022 2023	2023 2024	2024 2025	2025 2026	2026 2027	2027 2028	TOTALS
REVENUE:	- 1		r									T				
TAXABLE VALUATION:																
Real Property	ç	60,810,200	\$ 80.485.000 \$	82.899.550 \$	85,386,537 \$	87.948.133 \$	90.586.577 \$	93,304,174 \$	96,103,299 \$	98,986,398 \$	101.955.990 \$	105.014.670	\$ 108,165,110 \$	111.410.063 \$	114,752,365	
Personal Property	ľ	2,640,598	2.640.598	2,719,816	2.801,410	2.885.453	2.972.016	3.061.177	3,153,012	3,247,602	3,345,031	3,445,381	3,548,743	3,655,205	3,764,861	
Centerally Assessed		279.790	279,790	288,184	296.829	305.734	314,906	324,353	334.084	344,106	354,430	365.062	376.014	387,295	398,914	
Total Assessed Value	\$	63,730,588	\$ 83,405,388 \$	85,907,550 \$	88,484,776 \$	91,139,319 \$	93,873,499 \$	96,689,704 \$	99,590,395 \$	102,578,107 \$	105,655,450 \$	108,825,114	\$ 112,089,867 \$	115,452,563 \$	118,916,140	
Less: Base Year Value	s	(705,802)) \$ (705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802)	\$ (705,802) \$	(705,802) \$	(705,802)	
Incremental Assessed Value	\$	63,024,786	\$ 82,699,586 \$	85,201,748 \$	87,778,974 \$	90,433,517 \$	93,167,697 \$	95,983,902 \$	98,884,593 \$	101,872,305 \$	104,949,648 \$	108,119,312	\$ 111,384,065 \$	114,746,761 \$	118,210,338	
50-																
Tax Rate:																
Utah County		0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	0.1149%	
Alpine School District		0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	0.8096%	
American Fork City		0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	0.2540%	
North Utah County Water Conservancy District		0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	0.0025%	
Central Utah Water Conservancy District		0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	0.0422%	
Less State Assessing & Collecting		-0.0220%		-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	-0.0220%	
Less Local Assessing & Collecting		-0.0013%		-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	-0.0013%	
Less Tax Rate Differential		0.0000%		0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	
Total Tax Rate - Area 186:	_	1.1999%	-	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	1.1999%	
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES																
Total Tax Increment	\$	5 756,234	\$ 992,312 \$	1,022,336 \$	1,053,260 \$	1,085,112 \$	1,117,919 \$	1,151,711 \$	1,186,516 \$	1,222,366 \$	1,259,291 \$	1,297,324	\$ 1,336,497 \$	1,376,846 \$	1,418,406	16,276,130
Total Tax Increment:	\$	5 756,234	\$ 992,312 \$	1,022,336 \$	1,053,260 \$	1,085,112 \$	1,117,919 \$	1,151,711 \$	1,186,516 \$	1,222,366 \$	1,259,291 \$	1,297,324	\$ 1,336,497 \$	1,376,846 \$	1,418,406	16,276,130
Percent of Tax Increment for Project		75%	5 75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	0%	0%	0%	
TAX INCREMENT REVENUES	332												2	1.0		
Tax Increment to RDA - Calculated	S	5 567,176	\$ 744,234 \$	766,752 \$	789,945 \$	813,834 \$	838,439 \$	863,783 \$	889,887 \$	916,774 \$	944,468 \$	972,993	\$-\$	- \$		9,108,286
Tax Increment Actually Collected and Paid	\$	5 564,523	\$ 744,234 \$	766,752 \$	789,945 \$	813,834 \$	838,439 \$	863,783 \$	889,887 \$	916,774 \$	944,468 \$	673,592	\$-\$	- S		8,806,232
Previous Years Tax Increment Revenue to RDA		57,914	-	-		2	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		57,914
Total Tax Increment Revenue to RDA:	ş	622,437	\$ 744,234 \$	766,752 \$	789,945 \$	813,834 \$	838,439 \$	863,783 \$	889,887 \$	916,774 \$	944,468 \$	673,592	\$-\$	- \$		8,864,145
EXPENDITURES: Project Area Budget and Uses of Funds																
Redevelopment Agency Uses																
RDA Administrative Fees @ 5%	ç	31,122	\$ 37.212 \$	38.338 \$	39.497 \$	40.692 \$	41,922 \$	43,189 \$	72,960 \$	91,677 \$	94,447 \$	67,359	s - s	- S		598,415
Affordable Housing Fund @ 20%		124,487	148,847	153,350	157,989	162,767	167,688	172,757	291,840	366,710	377,787	269,437	-	-		2,393,658
Public Infrastructure Costs/Agency @ 25%		155,609		191,688	197,486	203,458	209,610	215,946	364,800	458,387	472,234	336,796	-	-	-	2,992,073
Other Agency Obligations and Indebtedness																
Developer Infrastructure Reimbursement																
Principal		246,526	313,588	332,687	352,600	373,360	394,997	417,544	156,378	0	-	-	-	-		2,587,681
Interest (@ 2.5%)		64,692		50,689	42,372	33,557	24,223	14,348	3,909	0	-	-	_	-	-	292,319
Total Debt Obligations	F	311,218	372,117	383,376	394,972	406,917	419,220	431,892	160,288	0	.		-		- !	2,880,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	ş	622,437	\$ 744,234 \$	766,752 \$	789,945 \$	813,834 \$	838,439 \$	863,783 \$	889,887 \$	916,774 \$	944,468 \$	673,592	\$-\$	- \$		8,864,145

End of Agency

Payments to Developer

End of Project Area

Note 1: Tax increment actually paid to the Agency is based on actual collections. As the County collects previous years tax increment, it is remitted to the Agency in the current year.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Indirect Development Costs from Original Project Area Budget (Oct. 2000). These infrastructure improvements were to be reimbursed by the Agency to the Developer according to an Agreement to Development Land (ADL). These costs carry a 2.50% per annum interest cost.

2. The tax increment trigger date is 2004 and this Amended Project Area Budget assumes 75% participation for all taxing entities for 24 years or until the total tax increment received by the Agency after TY 2012 equals \$9,262,291. This allows the City to meet its obligations to the developer including interest carry costs.

3. This Amended Project Area Budget assumes a growth rate of three percent starting in TY 2015.

4. Based on incremental value assumptions, Agency Obligations can be accelerated prior to the 24 year expiration. In this model the Agency obligations related to debt will expire in TY 2022. This is consistent with the October 17, 2000 American Fork Egg Farm Economic Development Project Taxing Agency Committee Meeting Minutes, whereby a resolution was approved to consider accelerating Agency obligations with excess tax increment prior to the 24 year expiration.

5. Original Budget contemplated that the Amount Retained by Taxing Entities would be \$2.9 million, whereas the revised budget projects that this amount will be \$4.2 million. 6. Tax increment years 2009-2012 provided greater available revenue to the Agency than the Agency actually used and requested. Thus, there is an approximately \$850,000 difference between available tax increment and requested tax increment.

KEY BUDGET CONFIRMATIONS AND REVISIONS:

1. Resolution clarifying the Project Area Budget to be 75% to Agency and 25% pass-thru to the taxing entities with a cap in the tax increment revenue received by the Agency after tax year 2012 of \$9,262,291.

2. Resolution clarifying that the "trigger-date" of tax increment was TY 2004, which will allow the Project Area Budget to go from TY 2004 thru TY 2027 (24 years) or until the total amount of tax increment received by the Agency after tax year 2012 equals \$9,262,291.

3. Resolution adopting the Amended Project Area Budget as the Official Project Area Budget. Since the contemplated Project Budget Expenses were incurred to facilitate the development and the purposes of the creation of the EDA have been met, the Agency would request the annual consideration of excess tax increment be removed in order to facilitate accelerating the Agency's obligations.

WE PROVIDE SOLUTIONS

Page | 51

	~
-1853-	1



2015 ANNUAL REPORT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF AMERICAN FORK CITY, UT



EXHIBIT D: MAP OF THE EGG FARM EDA PROJECT AREA



AMERICAN FORK CITY EGG FARM EDA

---- RAILROAD

AMERICAN FORK EGG FARM EDA BOUNDARY





2015 ANNUAL REPORT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF AMERICAN FORK CITY, UT

Page | 52

WE PROVIDE SOLUTIONS